

Description D-1116-CV-75-184
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V JICARILLA APACHE TRIBE AND THE
NAVAJO NATION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
COUNTY OF SAN JUAN
IN THE DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.
Plaintiff,
vs.
JICARILLA APACHE TRIBE and THE NAVAJO NATION
Defendant.

TYPE OF PROCEEDINGS: STATUS CONFERENCE
FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MULTIPLE
FOR THE DEFENDANT: MULTIPLA
MONITOR: LORESSA BACHERT
MACHINE TYPE: FTR GOLD REPORTER

LEGEND:
APO - Adult Probation Officer
BW - Bench Warrant
C - Count
COP - Change of Plea
COR - Conditions of Release
D - Defendant Atty
DCO - Drug Court
DEF - Defendant
DET - Detective
DEX - Direct Exam
DOC - Department of Corrections
EX - Exhibit
J - Judge

Date 4/17/2014 **Location** CourtRoom4

<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	
1:33:41 PM	J	CALLS CASE
1:34:12 PM		STATUS CONFERENCE
1:34:18 PM		COUNSEL ENTER APPEARANCE
1:34:24 PM	COUNSEL	ENTRY OF APPEARANCES BY COUNSEL IN SANTA FE
1:34:54 PM	J	CONNECTED TO AZTEC I AM IN COA IN SANTA FE
1:35:16 PM	COUNSEL	ENTRY OF APPEARANCES BY COUNSEL IN AZTEC
1:35:45 PM	OXFORD	PRO SE WITH THELMA NICHOLS
1:37:36 PM	COUNSEL	TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES
1:38:13 PM	TULLY	IF THIS DOES NOT CONCERN THE NAVAJO SETTLEMENT SUBFILE I AM NOT MAKING AN OFFICIAL APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF MY CLIENT. WE ARE ONLY INVOLVED IN THE SUBFILE
1:38:38 PM	J	PROCEEDING NOT IN RELATION TO THE NAVAJO PROCEEDING
1:39:09 PM	TULLY	WILL EXIT HEARING
1:39:17 PM	MALONE	CITIES OF AZTEC AND BLOOMFIELD
1:39:29 PM	J	4 ITEMS ON THE AGENDA
1:39:35 PM		READING AGENDA ITEMS
1:39:56 PM		TURN TO THE STATE AND ITEM #1
1:40:11 PM	GARY STORM	I AM MANAGING THE ADJUDICATION
1:40:25 PM		66 OUTSTANDING SUBFILES WITHOUT FINAL CONSENT ORDERS
1:40:38 PM		BREAKING DOWN NUMBERS
1:40:40 PM		18 ARE IN TECHNICAL REVIEW WITH QUESTIONS RAISED
1:41:11 PM		14 SUBFILES THAT WE HAVE SENT CONSENT ORDERS TO AND THE DEFENDANTS HAVE OBJECTED TO THE CONSENT ORDERS
1:41:21 PM		OF THOSE 14, 4 HAVE FAILED TO RESPOND OR PROVIDE INFORMATION REQUESTED
1:41:38 PM		2 THAT BASED UPON THE OBJECTION WE HAVE SENT FOR TECHNICAL REVIEW
1:41:44 PM		1 WE ARE IN DISCUSSIONS WITH BUT IS LOOKING MORE INTRACTABLE, LESS LIKELY TO BE RESOLVED WITHOUT COURT PROCEEDING
1:41:56 PM		3 SORTING OUT OWNERSHIP QUESTIONS
1:42:08 PM		4 UNDER REVIEW JUST DID FIELD CHECK
1:42:33 PM		1 INTRACTABLE OBJECTION, END OF 14 SUBFILES TO WHICH OBJECTIONS HAVE BEEN MADE
1:42:43 PM		18 SUBFILES THAT HAVE SENT OUT IMPLIED CONSENT ORDERS
1:43:06 PM		DID NOT OBJECT SO WE PRESUMED THAT THEY ACCEPT
1:43:21 PM	J	THOSE ARE THE ORDERS THAT THE COURT RECEIVED
1:43:29 PM	STORM	7 CONSENT ORDERS SENT OUT RECENTLY AND WAITING FOR REPLY. DEADLINES FOR RESPONDING TO THOSE ARE IN MAY

1:43:35 PM		8 UNDER LEGAL REVIEW. 3 OF THESE I AM WORKING ON RULE 60 MOTIONS TO CORRECT ERRORS IN ORIGINAL CONSENT ORDERS. 3 ARE IN ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION.
1:44:01 PM		2 RAISE COMPLEX ISSUES THAT REQUIRE LEGAL ANALYSIS
1:44:38 PM		IN COMING WEEKS WILL RECEIVE DISMISSALS OF ABOUT 200
1:44:58 PM	J	IN FUTURE IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF YOU WOULD SUBMIT SOMETHING LIKE A REPORT FOR THE COURT TO LOOK AT BEFORE
1:45:45 PM		THIS NUMBER OF 66...ARE THERE STILL CLAIMANTS THAT STILL NEED TO BE SERVED
1:46:11 PM	STORM	ONCE THESE 66 ALL WILL BE ADJUDICATED
1:46:25 PM	J	THEN WE CAN MOVE TO INTER SE FOR THE LA PLATA
1:46:50 PM	STORM	ORIGINAL PLAN OF THE ADJUDICATION DID NOT INCLUDE DOMESTIC AND STOCK
1:47:09 PM	J	ARE YOU PREPARED TO DISCUSS THAT NOW
1:47:17 PM	STORM	VERY GENERAL QUESTIONS BUT HAVE NOT ESTABLISHED HOW TO PROCEED WITH THAT
1:47:37 PM	J	WHEN WOULD BE APPROPRIATE
1:47:44 PM		ARE WE ABLE TO BEGIN RIGHT AWAY OR SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN
1:47:59 PM	ARIANNE SINGER	THE STATE WOULD LIKE TO FINISH THE IRRIGATION SUBFILES THAT MR STORM HAS IDENTIFIED BEFORE MOVING ON THE THE DOMESTIC. IT WILL REQUIRE SOME HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY WORK.. NOT READY TO PROCEED WITH THAT WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO EXPLORE SOME OPTIONS THAT MIGHT EXIST FOR STREAMLINING THAT PROCESS
1:48:41 PM	J	FOR NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE IF THE STATE COULD MAKE A PROPOSAL AS TO HOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THESE CLAIMS THAT WOULD BE WORTHWILE. ALSO NEED TO WAIT UNTIL THE IRRIGATION SUBFILES ARE COMPLETED FOR BEGINNING THE DOMESTIC AND STOCK CLAIMS.
1:49:30 PM		DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY THERE CAN NOT BE PROCEEDINGS MOVING ALONG ON BOTH FRONTS AT THE SAME TIME
1:49:42 PM	SINGER	DEPENDS ON RESOURCES IF WE HAVE TO DO A SURVEY
1:50:05 PM		WE ARE SHORT SUPPLY OF TECHNICAL STAFF
1:50:29 PM	J	WHAT RESOURCES DO YOU HAVE ASSIGNED
1:50:38 PM	SINGER	EXPLAINS RESOURCES
1:50:57 PM		4 VACANCIES AND ONE ON MATERNITY LEAVE
1:51:13 PM		HAVE TO SPLIT THE RESOURCES WITH THE AMMOTT ADJUDICATION.... WOULD LIKE TO FINISH THE IRRIGATION SUBFILES AND GET THEM DONE AND IF POSSIBLE TO DO AN INTER SE ON THOSE BEFORE DOING DOMESTIC AND STOCK
1:51:43 PM	J	I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN ADDRESSING STREAMLINE PROCEDURES

1:52:16 PM		PLAN TO HAVE A STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THEN
1:52:34 PM	J-STORM	FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT IMPLIED CONSENT ORDERS THAT WERE SENT TO ME
1:52:55 PM		THESE CLAIMANTS WERE SERVED IN 2010
1:53:05 PM		THERE WAS PUBLICATION IN 2010
1:53:21 PM		ANY EFFORT BEEN MADE TO ENSURE THAT THESE PEOPLE CAN BE FOUND AT THIS TIME
1:53:35 PM	STORM	HAVE BEEN GOING THROUGH A LOT OF TROUBLE TRYING TO DETERMINE IF WE ARE SEEKING THE RIGHT PEOPLE AND IF WE HAVE PROPER CONTACT INFORMATION
1:54:11 PM		JUST SOME PEOPLE THAT I HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO TRACK
1:54:25 PM	J	ALSO LOOKING AT CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP?
1:54:44 PM	STORM	DOES NOT HAPPEN OFTEN
1:55:03 PM	J	QUESTIONS ABOUT THE 66 FILES
1:55:27 PM		QUESTION REGARDING INTRACTIBLE OBJECTIONS. SET FOR TRIAL OR PROSPECT THAT MEDIATION WOULD WORK
1:56:34 PM		IN THAT CASE THEY ARE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL
1:56:55 PM		ATTORNEY SEEMED RESIGNED OR RESOLVED TO LITIGATE THE MATTER SO THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE IT SEEMS LIKELY TO GO TO TRIAL
1:57:14 PM	J	WOULD IT BE HELPFUL TO HAVE A STATUS CONFERENCE REGARDING THIS ONE FILE
1:57:32 PM	STORM	YES
1:57:38 PM	J	NEED YOU TO IDENTIFY THE SUBFILE NUMBER
1:58:04 PM	STORM	WILL SUBMIT IN WRITING
1:58:19 PM		LA PLATA HOLDING LLC (GIVES NOTICE TO JUDGE)
1:58:25 PM	J	WILL SET STATUS CONFERENCE WITH REGARD TO THAT SUBFILE
1:58:57 PM		4 WITH NO RESPONSES
1:59:07 PM	STORM	UNDER THE SCHEDULING ORDER FOR THE LA PLATA SUPPOSED TO RESOLVE BY PHONE OR IN PERSON
1:59:27 PM		THEN SEND LETTER AND THEY ARE TO RESPOND IN 30 DAYS
1:59:44 PM		MEDIATION MY BE HELPFUL
1:59:53 PM		THESE PEOPLE HAVE NOT RESPONDED TO US
2:00:15 PM		WE HAVE NOT SENT LETTERS TO ALL OF THESE PEOPLE YET
2:00:26 PM	J	HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU NEED TO SEND OUT THE LETTER
2:00:37 PM	STORM	30 DAYS FROM COMING MONDAY
2:00:47 PM	J	THAT IS ROUGHLY MAY 20TH
2:01:07 PM		2 SENT TO TECHNICAL REVIEW HOW MUCH TIME NEEDED FOR THOSE
2:01:25 PM	STORM	DUE TO RESOURCES IT IS DIFFICULT TO SPECULATE ON AN AMOUNT OF TIME
2:01:48 PM	J	THE NEXT ONE WOULD NOT RESOLVE

2:02:00 PM	STORM	PARTIES ARE MR OXFORD AND MRS NICHOLS WHO ARE PRESENT IN AZTEC
2:02:24 PM		SENT MR OXFORD A LETTER STATING THAT WE WOULD NOT ACCEPT ANY OF THEIR CHANGES
2:02:32 PM		STATE IS FIRM ON ITS POSITION AT THIS POINT
2:02:41 PM	ROBERT OXFORD	HAVE TALKED TO THELMA NICHOLS AND BELIEVE WE WOULD NEED A RULING BY YOU ABOUT THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OF WATER RIGHTS THAT CAN NOT BE CLAIMED ON HER PROPERTY
2:03:19 PM	J	WOULD MEDIATION BE HELPFUL
2:03:25 PM	OXFORD	NO
2:03:37 PM		IT IS GOING TO BE AN INTERPRETATION OF THE ECHO DITCH DECREE
2:03:55 PM		THELMA NICHOLS ALSO HAS CLAIM TO UNDERGROUND WATER RIGHTS
2:04:07 PM		THERE IS A POND ON THIS DISPUTED CONSENT ORDER AND WE BELIEVE THERE IS UNDERGROUND FLOW INTO THAT POND. IF YOU ARE NOT GOING TO ADDRESS UNDERGROUND WATER NOW WE WOULD WANT TO...
2:04:53 PM	STORM	IN MANY OF THE CONSENT ORDER WE HAVE PUT IN A PROVISION THAT SAYS CERTAIN TYPES OF CLAIMS MADE BY THE CLAIMANT WILL BE RESERVED FOR FUTURE DETERMINATION
2:05:14 PM		IF WHAT WHAT HE IS DISCUSSING IS THAT TYPE OF CLAIM WE HAVE A MECHANISM IN THE CONSENT ORDER FOR DEALING WITH THAT. WE WOULD HAVE TO EVALUATE THAT SPECIFIC CLAIM TO DETERMINE IF THAT IS THE WAY WE WOULD HANDLE IT. WE NEED THE FACTS IN ORDER TO PROCEED
2:05:41 PM	J	CAN COURT PROCEED ON SURFACE RIGHTS AT THIS TIME
2:06:12 PM	STORM	THE DOCUMENTS THAT HE HAS SUBMITTED ON THE BEHALF OF MRS NICHOLS HE ADDRESSES THE SURFACE CLAIMS AS WELL AS THE POND OR THE UNDERGROUND CLAIM. IT WOULD BE BETTER TO ADDRESS ALL OF THE CLAIMS AT ONE TIME
2:06:44 PM	OXFORD	NOT SURE IF IT INVOLVES EVAPORATION OR NOT
2:06:57 PM	J	WHEN DO BOTH OF YOU THINK WILL BE READY TO SET TRIAL SCHEDULE
2:07:16 PM		FROM THIS DISCUSSION NOT SURE NOW IS THE APPROPRIATE TIME
2:07:30 PM	OXFORD	IF ONLY GOING TO DO THE SURFACE SHE IS READY TO GET A DETERMINATION OF THE IRRIGATED ACRES CLAIM THAT THE ST IS NOT WILLING TO RECOGNIZE
2:08:03 PM	J	LETS PUT THIS ONE IN SAME CATAGORY AS THE LA PLATA LLC AND SET FOR A STATUS CONFERENCE
2:08:50 PM		BE PREPARED AT THE STATUS CONFERENCE ****
2:09:03 PM	OXFORD	WE CAN DISCUSS IT
2:09:20 PM	J	3 WITH OWNERSHIP QUESTION
2:09:32 PM	STORM	ALL THE SAME FAMILY AND TRANSFERS HAVE BEEN MADE

2:09:56 PM	J	NOT AN IMPASSE AT THIS POINT
2:10:06 PM		AT THIS STAGE WE HAVE 2 CASES THAT SOULD BE CALLED SEPERATLY
2:10:18 PM		MAYBE IN A MONTH THERE WILL BE 4 MORE THAT MIGHT BE READY
2:10:54 PM	STORM	NOTHING FURTHER
2:11:04 PM	J	ANY THING ELSE TO ADDRESS ON AGENDA ITEM 1
2:11:22 PM		TURN TO ITEM 2 ON AGENDA
2:11:44 PM	STORM	CITY OF AZTEC AND BLOOMFIELD
2:12:08 PM		DISCUSSING CONSENT ORDERS
2:12:19 PM		REGARDING THE CITY OF FARMINGTON THERE IS A DRAFT ORDER
2:12:33 PM		PROPOSAL WITH REGARD TO CITY OF FARMINGTON
2:12:58 PM	SINGER	ALSO IN DISCUSSION WITH BHP NAVAJO COAL
2:13:13 PM		NOT PREPARED TO MOVE FORWARD IN OTHER SECTIONS IN THE SAN JUAN. DO NOT HAVE THE STAFF
2:13:49 PM		WILL OFFER A REPORT FOR THE NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE
2:14:29 PM		ANYTHIGN ELSE TO OFFER WITH REGARD TO ITEM 2
2:14:38 PM	GUARINO	HERE TO TALK BRIEFLY ABOUT MATTER I STARTED FOCUSING ON DURING THE NAVAJO INTER SE
2:15:10 PM		THE US DID A GREAT AMOUNT OF FIELD WORK
2:15:31 PM		THESE ARE LAND IN WHICH THE US HOLD TRUST RESPONSIBILITY
2:15:54 PM		THE US HAS AN OBLIGATION TO TAKE OF THE WATER RIGHTS FOR THESE ALLOTTEES
2:16:27 PM		SO INFORMATION DOES NOT BECOME STATEHAVE TRIED TO ADDRESS ALLOTTMENT
2:16:50 PM		NOT SURE HOW THE ALLOTTMENTS WILL BE DEALT WITH
2:17:42 PM		1882 INDIVIDUAL NAVAJO ALLOTTMENTS
2:18:07 PM		HAVE SENT A TEM TO IDENTIFY AND QUANTIFY
2:18:18 PM		HAVE BEEN COMUNICATION WITH THE ST OF NM
2:18:34 PM		OUR INTENT IS TO OBTAIN CONSENT ORDERS
2:19:04 PM		THE MONEY THE US HAS MADE AVAILABLE HAS DIMISHED OVER THE YEARS
2:19:18 PM		LESS EVERY YEAR
2:19:40 PM		GOING TO ASK COURT TO INSTRUCT.....BY DEC 15TH
2:20:13 PM		DO NOT THINK WE WILL BE READY TO SUBMIT HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY
2:20:47 PM		NEED TO REACH OUT TO THE HEIRS
2:21:05 PM		NOT READY TO COMMIT TO TIME FRAME. GUESS LESS THAN 6 MO NO LONGER THAN 18 MONTHS
2:22:07 PM		WILL HAVE COMMUNICATION WITH THE ST OF NM TO STREAMLINE THE PROCESSES TO RESOLVE THE INDIVIDUAL SUBFILES
2:23:05 PM	J	LET ME HEAR FROM MR HORNER
2:23:18 PM	GARY HORNER	ARE WE TALKING ABOUT ALLOTTMENTS THAT ARE ON THE RESERVATION OR NOT ON RESERVATION

<u>2:23:52 PM</u>	GUARINO	WITH RESEPECT TO ON OR OFF THE RESERVATION THERE IS NO DESIGNATION BY ACT OF CONGRESS TO HAVE A SINGLE RESERVATION
<u>2:24:25 PM</u>		HIS DEFINATION OF THE RESERVATION
<u>2:24:35 PM</u>		LAND TAKEN INTO TRUST ON BEHALF OF THE NAVAJO NATION
<u>2:25:16 PM</u>		WITH RESPECT TO HOW THESE MAY OR MAY NOT INTERFERE IN NAVAJO SETTLEMENT
<u>2:25:35 PM</u>		IN THE SETTLEMENT THERE WAS A PROVISION THAT DESCRIBED THE ALLOTMENTS
<u>2:26:03 PM</u>		THE SETTLEMENT ADDRESSES THAT VISA
<u>2:26:49 PM</u>	J	THE CLAIMS THAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT NOW ARE NOT INCLUDED
<u>2:27:04 PM</u>	HORNER	THE ISSUE THAT THIS BRINGS UP IS THAT THE NAVAJO SETTLEMENT DOES NOT
<u>2:27:26 PM</u>	J	MR TULLY WAS HERE AND ASKED AND ASKED ABOUT IF WE WERE GOING TO ADDRESS THE NAVAJO SETTLEMENT
<u>2:28:10 PM</u>		TOLD HIM WE WOULD NOT BE TALKING ABOUT IT
<u>2:28:23 PM</u>		ISSUE WAS NOT ON THE AGENDA
<u>2:28:42 PM</u>		IF WE ARE GOING TO GO THERE NEED SEPERATE STATUS CONFERENCE
<u>2:28:58 PM</u>	HORNER	WERE YOU AWARE WHEN THAT IT DID NOT INCLUDE.....
<u>2:29:21 PM</u>	UTTON	THE ALLOTTEE ADJ IS SEPERATE FROM THE NAVAJO PROCEEDING
<u>2:29:56 PM</u>		NOT WATERRIGHTS OF THE NAVAJO NATION
<u>2:30:24 PM</u>		REASON ALLOTTEES ARE SEPERATE
<u>2:30:54 PM</u>		WE DECIDED TO SEPERATE THAT AND THE WAY THAT IT IS STRUCTURED IS AS LONG AS THE ALLOTTEES ARE CLAIMING WTER IN THE SAME WAY THAT ANY OTHER CITIZEN WOULD CLAIM WATER RIGHTS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE IT IS UNDER HISTORICAL US
<u>2:32:17 PM</u>	J	I THINK LET ME FROM MR HORNER AND MR GUARINO
<u>2:32:35 PM</u>	HORNER	HEAR A LOT OF DOUBLE SPEAK
<u>2:33:11 PM</u>		SEEMS TO BE SAYING NOW
<u>2:34:09 PM</u>		SEVERE MISREPRESENTATIONS TO THE COURT
<u>2:34:36 PM</u>	J	GETTING UNCOMFORTABLE BECAUSE WHAT WE ARE DISCUSSING WAS NOT ON AGENDA
<u>2:35:07 PM</u>		DO NOT WANT TO ADDRESS THAT IN THIS STATUS CONFERENCE
<u>2:35:21 PM</u>	HAWKINS UMUT	ASK THE COURT FOR TIME TO CONFERE WITH THE US AND ST OF NM
<u>2:35:41 PM</u>		CONCERNS ABOUT CURRENT SCHEDULING ORDER FOR THE UTE MOUNTAIN CLAIMS AND THE LACK OF RESOURCES

<u>2:35:54 PM</u>	GUARINO	WITH RESPECT TO THE ALLOTMENT CLAIMS THAT ARE NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE NAVAJO NATIONS WATER RIGHTS AND NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE UTE MOUNTAIN UTES WATER RIGHTS THAT MAY EXIST THAT I AM NOT HERE TO ADDRESS NOR HAVE I RAISED IN ANY WAY IN ANY WAY WITH RESPECT TO REQUESTING THE THE COURT TO ISSUE AN ORDER ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIELD WORK ASSOCIATED WITH JUST THE ALLOTMENTS IN THE SJ BASIN
<u>2:36:32 PM</u>		ASK COURT TO ISSUE AND ORDER FOR THE US TO COMPLETE ITS INITIAL FIELD WORK BY DEC 15TH 2015
<u>2:37:01 PM</u>	J	MR GUARINO PLEASE FILE A MOTION AND GIVE NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES
<u>2:37:37 PM</u>		QUESTION OF NOTICE AND WHO GETS NOTICE
<u>2:37:45 PM</u>		FILING IN THE ADJUDICATION APPROPRIATE TO SERVE THOSE INVOLVED IN THE ADJUDICATION
<u>2:39:00 PM</u>		HOW WOULD THE STATE ADDRESS SERVICE
<u>2:39:26 PM</u>		HAVE BEEN SOME CONFUSION
<u>2:40:11 PM</u>	J	SUGGESTING THAT BECAUSE WHAT I BELIEVE TO BE THE INTEREST OF THOSE WHO ARE PARTIES TO THE NAVAJO PROCEEDING AND NOT TO THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION THAT NOTICE ALSO BE PROVIDED TO THOSE IN THE NAVAJO NATION PROCEEDING. IF THERE WAS ANYBODY IN THAT PROCEEDING THAT WOULD NOT OTHERWISE GET NOTICE IN THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION PROCEEDING AND IF THAT PARTY HAD AN INTEREST THAT SUCH PARTIES COULD ADDRESS THE MOTION
<u>2:41:28 PM</u>	GUARINO	CLARIFYING
<u>2:45:12 PM</u>	J	DO NOT WANT TO RESTRAIN THE US FROM FILING A MOTION THAT IT FEELS APPROPRIATE
<u>2:45:26 PM</u>	HORNER	LIKE COURT TO CONSIDER WHAT THE JURISDICTION IS NOW
<u>2:46:27 PM</u>		THE COURT MAY BE COMFORTABLE WITH WHERE IT IS
<u>2:46:39 PM</u>		IT NEEDS TO BE LAID OUT
<u>2:50:27 PM</u>	J	NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE
<u>2:50:36 PM</u>	J-GUARINO	FILE YOUR MOTION BEFORE
<u>2:50:53 PM</u>	GUARINO	WORK CAN BECOME STALE AND WE DO NOT WANT THAT
<u>2:51:11 PM</u>	J - STORM	ADRESSING NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE
<u>2:51:59 PM</u>	STORM	CLARIFYING
<u>2:52:04 PM</u>	J	WILL CALL IT A PRETRAIL CONFERENCE
<u>2:54:07 PM</u>		CONSIDERING DATES
<u>2:54:49 PM</u>		MR OXFORD IS NOT AN ATTORNEY AND CAN NOT REPRESENT AS SUCH
<u>2:55:05 PM</u>		VERIFIES DATES ARE GOOD FOR MRS NICHOLS
<u>2:55:23 PM</u>		COURT SET STATUS CONFERENCE FOR THE 21, 22, OR 23 OF MAY
<u>2:56:03 PM</u>	FOSTER??	ASK THAT THE RECORD REFLECT THAT I BE INDICATED AS AN OBSERVING PARTY

2:56:25 PM J

RECESS